

**PATENT APPLICATION**

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re application of

Docket No: Q67475

Shinji ITAMI

Appln. No.: 09/980,098

Group Art Unit: 2112

Confirmation No.: 1120

Examiner: Christopher E. LEE

Filed: November 29, 2001

For: DATA TRANSMISSION SYSTEM

**STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Please review and enter the following remarks summarizing the interview conducted on August 16, 2006 between Examiner Christopher E. Lee and Nataliya Dvorson:

**REMARKS**

Applicant thanks Examiner Lee for the courteous in person interview on August 16, 2006. An Examiner's Interview Summary Record (PTO-413) was given to the Applicant's Representative after the Interview. The PTO-413 requires the Applicant to file a Statement of Substance of the Interview. The Statement of Substance of the Interview is as follows:

During the interview, Applicant's Representative explained the position set forth in the Amendment under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111 filed August 10, 2006 with respect to claim 1. That is, Applicant's Representative maintained that Appelbaum teaches away from having a preset strobe signal that would last  $t$  clock intervals (col. 7, lines 1 to 4 of Appelbaum). The Examiner found

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW  
U.S. Appln. No. 09/980,098  
Attorney Docket No.: Q67475

the arguments with respect to claim 1 to be persuasive (*see* Continuation Sheet of the Interview Summary).

With respect to claims 6 and 8, Applicant explained that the amended claims are clearly supported throughout the specification and are similar to the amendment made to claim 5 in the Amendment under 37 C.F.R. § 1.116 filed on February 28, 2006. The Examiner indicated that he has not reviewed the amended claims 6 and 8 in detail and indicated that he will consider the amended claims when the amendment is forwarded to the Examiner.

It is respectfully submitted that the instant STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW complies with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. §§1.2 and 1.133 and MPEP §713.04.

**It is believed that no petition or fee is required.** This Statement is timely filed, September 16, 2006 being a Saturday. However, if the USPTO deems otherwise, Applicant hereby petitions for any extension of time which may be required to maintain the pendency of this case, and any required fee, except for the Issue Fee, for such extension is to be charged to Deposit Account No. 19-4880.

Respectfully submitted,

*/Nataliya Dvorson/*  
Nataliya Dvorson  
Registration No. 56,616

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC  
Telephone: (202) 293-7060  
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE  
**23373**  
CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: September 18, 2006

Attorney Docket No.: Q67475